App. F IX-4

1932
(Ml)

Previous References
Ml 48 26 (46+23)
SWA 30-27 (48-49)
Ml 17 31 (76+81)
Ml 66 31 (107+166) Ml 23-24 (34+38)
Later References

SERIAL
2

PRESERVE

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 2

Part B for November 1932

SUBJECT

Treating the services of the Manegars in Municipalities, as Superior, even though their pay is less than Rs 10/-

The Revenue Comr
Comptroller, Thro' J L Scott
L 2431-2 & 28-9-32

Directs that the services of Manegars of Municipalities be treated as Superior even though their pay is less than Rs 10/-

Serial No

Fmn

The Rev Comr Lr no C 4445 3/27 dtd 4732

Recommends that the period of service rendered by Srivaikuntam, Nerl Tax collector Palayamkottai municipality, Mr Abraham Saleth as octroi munegar on a pay of Rs 10/- from 28-1-1902 to 1-9-1919 may be treated as superior for calculation of pension.

It is stated by the Revenue Board in the letter that while the octroi munegars services in the two cities are treated as superior there seems to be no reason why the same concession cannot be shown to munegars of the municipalities who are doing the same services The Hl & Legis Mny perhaps be consulted

Be S+A file 20 L7 (GP+49) 'leg Q

8/ 26/7/32

2) Perusal of the file 23 M & S dt 30-V7 shows that the exception 4 to Art 370 applies only to the Munegars of the Tinnevelly Municipality It does not therefore admit of a wider interpretation as stated by the Dy Comr

3) The exception in the case of the said munegars of the Tinnevelly Mpl was however made on the ground that though their pay was less than Rs 10/, yet the nature of the work devolving on them viz, collection work involving both clerical and account work, was of a nature that cannot be regarded as less responsible than that discharged by an Officer in superior service The same remarks seem to apply

to the other Moneyers as Other Municipalities, and the Rev Com observes that it would cause much heartburning if they have to be differently treated the recommendation to treat the Officials serving as Moneyer as Superior may perhaps be sanctioned as the general question of including other Moneyers of all Municipalities in Section 4 of Act 2 of 1920 may also be considered

4) The Fee Secy may be consulted

Fee Secy) 1/8

5) For favour of copy

Fee Secy with Compts

GENERAL S 1-JL 1922

Rs 4 8 12 1/8 5/22

7/3/4-22

6 This involves a general question viz Whether the staff of this kind doing clerical work & employed in Collection getting less than Rs 10 pay in Municipalities other than Mysore may be regarded as Servants for purposes of Pension & Govt are prepared to agree to as a general measure this particular case may be sanctioned

Now that the difference between Inferior Service and Superior Service has been largely removed this general principle may also be accepted I think

26/8

For orders

Fee Secy) 2/8

II Member)

Fil. of 1932-33

Sec No 97

Ld wrte accept

(9) Please put this a fair draft

Ld wrte fair draft

(12) For perusal before issue

(Per Secy)

(Chief Secy)

(Minister)

(1)

For perusal with reference to para 7

(Fin Secy)

Issue

Issue

Issue

Issue

To the new case + comply

time 2 m

across pencil

TYPING SECTION 21 SEP 1932 GENL & REV SECTT

UEW 1769 19 SEP 1932 CE

TYPING SECTION 21 SEP 1932 GENL REV SECTT

File No of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Mr 92-32-1

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

: 4453/31-32

Dated Bangalore 2nd July 1932

4 JUL 1932

FROM

S P Rajagopalachari, Esq B A B L

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

To R Ranga Rao Esq B A B L,
General
/~~The~~ *Secretary to the Government*
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

GEN 125/32
6 JULY
L. & G BRANCH

AL SECRETARY

SIR

In forwarding herewith the pension papers of Subbanna retired Tax Collector Saligrama Municipality Yedatore Taluk received with Deputy Commissioner's letter No Pen 2/30-31 dated 23/25th May 193 (copy enclosed) I have the honour to state that it is seen from the Service Register of the official that he was Octroi Manegar on a pay of less than Rs 10/- from 28th January 1902 to 1st September 1919 and that the Comptroller who was addressed by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter of treating the services of the official during this period as superior has stated that the concession allowed by Exception 4 Article 270 Mysore Service Regulations applies only to the employees of the two ~~Mm~~ City Municipalities The Deputy Commissioner considers tha in view of the fact that the nature of the work of the Manegar is the same irrespective of the Municipality to which he may be attached Exception 4 admits of wider interpretation so as to include within th purview the employees of all Municipalities Apart from the question of interpretation, it seems to me that it would cause much hardship if a difference is to be made between the Manegars of the two City Municipalities and those of the other Municipalities particularly as

in the matter

I have the honour to be,

S i r

Your most obedient servant

Revenue Commissioner

BR

Letter No Pen 2/30-31 dated 23/25th May 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

---

In forwarding herewith the papers ending with the letter No Pen 2949 dated 14th May 1932 from the Comptroller Mysore Government regarding the settlement of claim to final pension of one Subbanna retired Tax Collector Saligram Municipality Yedatore Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Comptroller has observed that the services of the Tax Collector from 28th January 1902 to 30th June 1904 and from 14th April 1904 to 31st August 1921 as Octroi Manegar on a pay of less than Rs 10/- is to be treated as inferior under Exception 4 to Article 270 M S R However considering the fact that the nature of the work of a Manegar is the same irrespective of the Municipality to which he may be attached I am of opinion that Exception 4 to Article 270 M S R admits of a wider interpretation so as to include within its purview the employees of all the Municipalities The presence of a comma after the word "Manegars" in line 3 and its absence after the word "Sub Overseers" in line 5 of this Exception is I think a sufficient indication that t e privilege conferred by that Article could not have been exclusively intended for the employees of the two City Municipalities only Besides the present case is a very hard one and I would request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to calculate his pension on 25 years of his service on the superior scale by treating this as a special case if need be

---

" C o p y "

For Revenue Commissioner

Sec II Ml 92-32-2

Proceedings of the Government of H I
aharaja of ysore

R e a d -

overnment rder o 11 1045-6/3 A 30-37
49 dated 3rd ugust 1928 directing that service in the capacity of aregars etc of the City unicipalities of Bar alore and ysore may be regarded as superior tho' the pay drawn by them is less than Rs 10/-

Date of issue 28 9-32

Sd by ctg A S Rose

2 Letter o C 4455/31-32 dated 4th uary 1932 from the evenue Co missioner in ysore forwarding the pension papers of ubbarra, retired Tax Collector alli rura unicipality, Yedatore aluk and stating that the official was Octroi aregar on a ay of less than Rs 10/- from 29th January 1902 to 1st September 1915, and requesting that his service during this period be treated as superior as in the case of the aregars of two City unicipalities

*** ****

O R D R o L 2431-2 Rev Records
Dated 28-9-32

In view of the fact that the duti s o Octroi aregars in all unicipalities are of the same character overnment consider that no distinction need be ade in regard to the service of aregars in the City and other unicipaliti s They accordingly direct that the service of Octroi aregars of all unicipalities be treated as superior even though their pay is less than Rs 10/-

2 The pension of Subbarna will be calculated on this basis

3 The Comptroller is requested to issue the necessary amendments to the Mysore Service Regulations in this connection.

Genl Secy to Govt

The Revenue Commissioner with enclosures

The Comptroller to Government, through the Fin' Secy

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

S.O

Extra

Read -

Government Order No. Fl.1745-6/[illegible].30-27-42 dated 3rd August 1929, directing that service in the capacity of Manegers etc., of the City Municipalities of Bangalore and Mysore may be regarded as superior though the pay drawn by them is less than Rs.10/-.

2. Letter No.C 4453/31-32 dated 4th July 1932, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, forwarding the pension papers of Subbanna, retired Trx Collector, Saligrama Municipality, Yedatore Taluk, and stating that the official was Octroi Maneger on a pay of less than Rs.10/- from 20th January 1902 to 1st September 1919, ~~[illegible]~~ and requesting that his service during this period be treated as superior as in the case of the Manegers of the two City Municipalities.

Dem No. L2431-2/Mel 32-32-2

Dated, Bangalore, the 28th September 1932

In view of the fact that the duties of Octroi Managers in all Municipalities are of the same character, Government consider that no distinction need be made in regard to the service of Manegers in the City and other Municipalities. They accordingly direct that the service of Octroi Managers of all Municipalities be treated as superior even though their pay is less than Rs.10/-.

2. The pension of Subbanna will be calculated on this basis

3. Necessary amendments to the Mysore Service Regulations will issue separately.

Asst. for General Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, with enclosures
Controller to Government
Financial Secretariat.

S O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G O No L 2431 2—Ml 92 32 2 dated 28th September 1932

Manegars in Municipalities

Directs that the service of —— be treated as superior even though their pay is les than Rs 10

READ—

Government Order No Fl 1045 6—S & A 30 27 49 dated 3rd August 1928 directing that service in the capacity of Mane ars etc of the C ty Munic palities of Bangalore and Mysore may be re arded as superior though tl e pay drawn by them i le s than Rs 10

2 Letter No C 4453—31 32 d t d 4th July 193? from the R venue Commissioner in Mysor forwardin the pension p ers of Subbanna retired Tax Collector Sal rama Mun cipality Yedatore Taluk and tating that the official was Octroi Mane ar on a pay of less than Rs 10 from 28th January 1902 to 1st September 1919 and request ng that l is service durin this period Le treated a superior as in the cas of the Mane ars of the two City Municipalities

ORDER NO L 2431 2—ML 92 32 2 DATED BANGALORE THE 28TH SEPTEMBER 1932

In view of the fact that the duties of Octroi Manegars in all Municipalities are of the same character Government consider that no distinction need be made in regard to the service of Manegars in the City and other Municipalities They accord ingly direct that the ervice of Octroi Manegars of all Municipalities be treated as superior even though their pay is less than Rs 10

2 The pension of Subbanna will be calculated on this basis

3 Necessary amendments to the Mysore Service Regulations will issue separately

Secretary to Government
General Department

To—The Revenue Comn ssioner in Mysore with enclosures
The Comptroller to Government through the Financial Secretariat

Exd —P S R N

W D 744—GPB—830—12 10 3